arXiv:1404.7252v2 [math.CA] 24 May 2014

Multivariate circular Jacobi polynomials

Genki Shibukawa

Abstract

We introduce a new multivariate orthogonal polynomial which is a 2-parameter deformation of the spherical polynomial by harmonic analysis on symmetric cone. This is also regarded as a multivariate analogue of the circular Jacobi polynomial. Further, the weight function of its orthogonality relation coincides with the circular Jacobi ensemble defined by Bourgade et al.. We also obtain its main properties : generating function, pseudo differential equation and determinant expression.

1 Introduction

The one variable circular Jacobi (orthogonal) polynomials which are named by M. Ismail (see [I] p. 229) are defined by the Gaussian hypergeometric representation as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_m^{(\alpha)}(x) &:= \frac{(\alpha)_m}{m!}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-m, \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\\ \alpha\end{matrix}; 1-x\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2}\right)_m}{m!}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-m, \frac{\alpha+1}{2}\\ -m-\frac{\alpha-3}{2}\end{matrix}; x\right)\\ &= \frac{(\alpha)_m}{m!}\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\binom{m}{k}\frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)_k}{(\alpha)_k}(1-x)^k. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here, $(\alpha)_m := \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+m)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} = \alpha(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+m-1)$ and $\binom{m}{k} := (-1)^k\frac{(-m)_k}{k!}$. For $\alpha > 0$, these polynomials $\phi_m^{(\alpha)}$ satisfy with the following orthogonality which were given by R. Askey [A] in 1982 (he prove more general results).

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\phi_m^{(\alpha)}(e^{i\theta})\overline{\phi_n^{(\alpha)}(e^{i\theta})}|(1-e^{i\theta})^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}|^2\,d\theta = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+m)}{m!}\frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2})^2}\delta_{mn}. \tag{1.2}$$

Li-Chien Shen [She] provided a useful framework for introducing the circular Jacobi polynomials. Let us describe Shen's picture more precisely. We put $\mathcal{D} := \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |w| < 1\}$, $H := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$, $\Sigma := \{\sigma \in \mathbb{C} \mid \sigma^{-1} = \overline{\sigma}\}$, μ is the measure associated with the Riemannian structure on Σ. Further, we consider the following function spaces and their complete orthogonal bases.

(1) $\psi_m^{(\alpha)}$; exponential multiplied by Laguerre polynomials

$$L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) := \{\psi : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|\psi\|^2_{\alpha,\mathbb{R}_{>0}} < \infty\},$$
$$\|\psi\|^2_{\alpha,\mathbb{R}_{>0}} := \frac{2^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty |\psi(u)|^2 u^{\alpha-1}\, du,$$
$$\psi_m^{(\alpha)}(u) := e^{-u} L_m^{(\alpha-1)}(2u) = \frac{(\alpha)_m}{m!} e^{-u} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \frac{1}{(\alpha)_k} (2u)^k.$$

(2) $\Psi_m^{(\alpha)}$; Modified Fourier transform of the Laguerre polynomials

$$H^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}) := \Big\{\Psi : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|\Psi\|^2_{\alpha,\mathbb{R}} < \infty \text{ and } \Psi \text{ is continued analytically to } H \text{ as a holomorphic function which satisfies with } \sup_{0<y<\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty |\Psi(x+iy)|^2\, dx < \infty \Big\},$$
$$\|\Psi\|^2_{\alpha,\mathbb{R}} := \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^2}{2\pi} \frac{2^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |\Psi(t)|^2\, dt,$$
$$\Psi_m^{(\alpha)}(t) := (1-it)^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \frac{(\alpha)_m}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)_k}{(\alpha)_k} \left(\frac{2}{1-it}\right)^k.$$

(3) $\phi_m^{(\alpha)}$; circular Jacobi polynomials

$$H^2_\alpha(\Sigma) := \{\phi : \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi \text{ is continued analytically to } \mathcal{D} \text{ as a holomorphic function and } \|\phi\|^2_{\alpha,\Sigma} < \infty\},$$
$$\|\phi\|^2_{\alpha,\Sigma} := \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)^2}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_\Sigma |\phi(\sigma)|^2 |(1-\sigma)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}|^2\, d\mu(\sigma),$$
$$\phi_m^{(\alpha)}(\sigma) := \frac{(\alpha)_m}{m!} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)_k}{(\alpha)_k} (1-\sigma)^k.$$

We remark that

$$\|\psi_m^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{\alpha,\mathbb{R}_{>0}} = \|\Psi_m^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{\alpha,\mathbb{R}} = \|\phi_m^{(\alpha)}\|^2_{\alpha,\Sigma} = \frac{(\alpha)_m}{m!}.$$

Furthermore, the following unitary isomorphisms are known.

Modified (inverse) Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1} : L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}), \;\; (\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1}\psi)(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty e^{itu} u^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \psi(u)\, du.$$

Modified Cayley transform

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha^{-1} : H^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2_\alpha(\Sigma), \;\; (\mathcal{C}_\alpha^{-1}\Psi)(\sigma) := \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \Psi\left(i\frac{1+\sigma}{1-\sigma}\right).$$

To summarize, we can describe the result of Shen.

Proposition 1.1 ([She])**.**

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1}]{\simeq} & H^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{C}_\alpha^{-1}]{\simeq} & H^2_\alpha(\Sigma), & \text{(unitary)}. \\ \cup & & \cup & & \cup & \\ \psi_m^{(\alpha)} & \longmapsto & \Psi_m^{(\alpha)} & \longmapsto & \phi_m^{(\alpha)} & \\ (\mathbf{1}) & & (\mathbf{2}) & & (\mathbf{3}) & \end{array}$$

This setting is not only beneficial for introducing the above orthogonal systems, but also studying their fundamental properties (orthogonality, generating functions, differential equations).

The purpose of this article is to provide a multivariate analogue of the results obtained by Shen. Namely, we consider a modified Fourier transform of $L^2_\alpha(\Omega)^K$ and multivariate Laguerre polynomials. Using this unitary isomorphism and the modified Cayley transform, we introduce some new multivariate special orthogonal polynomials, which are a multivariate analogue of circular Jacobi polynomials. These polynomials, which we call multivariate circular Jacobi (MCJ) polynomials, are generalizations of the spherical (zonal) polynomials that are different from the Jack or Macdonald polynomials, which are well known as an extension of spherical polynomials. We also remark that the weight function of their orthogonality relation coincides with the circular Jacobi ensemble defined by Bourgade et al. [BNR]. Furthermore, we provide a generating function for the MCJ polynomials and a differential equation that is satisfied by the modified Cayley transform of the MCJ polynomials. In case of the multiplicity $d = 2$, we establish a determinant formula for the MCJ polynomials.

Let us now describe the content of the following sections. The basic definitions and fundamental properties of Jordan algebras and symmetric cones, and lemmas for analysis on symmetric cones have been presented in the first subsection of Section 2, so that they can be referred to later. The next subsection presents a compilation of basic facts for the multivariate Laguerre polynomials.

Based on these preparations, in Section 3, we establish a generalization of Proposition 1.1. In addition, using the generalization, we obtain the MCJ polynomials and their fundamental properties.

Finally, in Section 4, we present a conjecture and some problems for a further generalization of the MCJ polynomials.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we denote the ring of rational integers by $\mathbb{Z}$, the field of real numbers by $\mathbb{R}$, the field of complex numbers by $\mathbb{C}$, the partition set of length r by $\mathscr{P}$

$$\mathscr{P} := \{\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r_{\geq 0} \mid m_1 \geq \cdots \geq m_r\}. \tag{2.1}$$

For any vector $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$, we put

$$\operatorname{Re}\mathbf{s} := (\operatorname{Re} s_1, \ldots, \operatorname{Re} s_r), \tag{2.2}$$

$$|\mathbf{s}| := s_1 + \cdots + s_r, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|\mathbf{s}\| := (|s_1|, \ldots, |s_r|). \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}$

$$\mathbf{m}! := m_1! \cdots m_r!$$

and we set $\delta := (r-1, r-2, \ldots, 1, 0)$. Refer to Faraut and Koranyi [FK] for the details in this chapter.

2.1 Analysis on symmetric cones

Let Ω be an irreducible symmetric cone in V which is a finite dimensional simple Euclidean Jordan algebra of dimension n as a real vector space and rank r. The classification of irreducible symmetric cones is well-known. Namely, there are four families of classical irreducible symmetric cones $\Pi_r(\mathbb{R}), \Pi_r(\mathbb{C}), \Pi_r(\mathbb{H})$, the cones of all $r \times r$ positive definite matrices over $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$, the Lorentz cones Λ_r and an exceptional cone $\Pi_3(\mathbb{O})$ (see [FK] p. 97). Also, let $V^{\mathbb{C}} := V + iV$ be its complexification and $T_\Omega := \Omega + iV$, and $H_\Omega := V + i\Omega$. We denote the Jordan trace and determinant of the complex Jordan algebra $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ by $\operatorname{tr} x$ and by $\Delta(x)$ respectively.

Fix a Jordan frame $\{c_1, \ldots, c_r\}$ that is a complete system of orthogonal primitive idempotents in V and define the following subspaces:

$$V_j := \{x \in V \mid L(c_j)x = x\},$$

$$V_{jk} := \left\{ x \in V \,\middle|\, L(c_j)x = \frac{1}{2}x \text{ and } L(c_k)x = \frac{1}{2}x \right\}.$$

Then, $V_j = \mathbb{R}e_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, r$ are 1-dimensional subalgebras of V, while the subspaces V_{jk} for $j, k = 1, \ldots, r$ with $j < k$ all have a common dimension $d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_{jk}$. Then, V has the Peirce decomposition

$$V = \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} V_j \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j<k} V_{jk} \right),$$

which is the orthogonal direct sum. It follows that $n = r + \frac{d}{2} r(r-1)$. Let $G(\Omega)$ denote the automorphism group of Ω and let G be the identity component in $G(\Omega)$. Then, G acts transitively on Ω and $\Omega \cong G/K$ where $K \in G$ is the isotropy subgroup of the unit element, $e \in V$. K is also the identity component in $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$.

For any $x \in V$, there exists $k \in K$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x = k \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j c_j, \quad (\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_r).$$

From this polar decomposition, we obtain the following formula (see [FK] Theorem VI. 2.3).

Lemma 2.1. *Let f be an integrable function on V. We have*

$$\int_V f(x)\,dx = \widetilde{c_0}\int_{K\times\mathbb{R}^r} f(k\lambda)\prod_{1\le p<q\le r}|\lambda_p-\lambda_q|^d\,dkd\lambda_1\cdots d\lambda_r. \tag{2.5}$$

Here, dx is the Euclidean measure associated with the Euclidean structure on V given by $(u|v)=\mathrm{tr}(uv)$, dk is the normalized Haar measure on the compact group K, $\lambda=\sum_{j=1}^r\lambda_j c_j$ and $\widetilde{c_0}$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{c_0} := (2\pi)^{\frac{n-r}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^r\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}j+1\right)} = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n-r}{2}}}{r!}\prod_{j=1}^r\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}j\right)}. \tag{2.6}$$

In particular, for $f\in L^1(V)^K$

$$\int_V f(x)\,dx = \widetilde{c_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^r} f(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_r)\prod_{1\le p<q\le r}|\lambda_p-\lambda_q|^d\,d\lambda_1\cdots d\lambda_r. \tag{2.7}$$

As in the case of V, we also have the following spectral decomposition for $V^{\mathbb{C}}$. Every z in $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be written

$$z = u\sum_{j=1}^r\lambda_j c_j,$$

with u in U which is the identity component of $Str(V^{\mathbb{C}})\cap U(V^{\mathbb{C}})$, $\lambda_1\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_r\ge 0$. Moreover, we define the spectral norm of $z\in V^{\mathbb{C}}$ by $|z|=\lambda_1$ and introduce open unit ball $\mathcal{D}\in V^{\mathbb{C}}$ as follows.

$$\mathcal{D} = \{z\in V^{\mathbb{C}}\mid |z|<1\}.$$

We define Σ as the set of invertible elements in $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $z^{-1}=\overline{z}$, which coincides with the Shilov boundary of $\mathcal{D}$. For Σ, the following result is well known (see [FK] Proposition X.2.3).

Lemma 2.2. *For $z\in V^{\mathbb{C}}$, the following properties are equivalent:*
(i) $z\in\Sigma$,
(ii) $z=e^{i\theta}=\sum_{j=1}^r e^{i\theta_j}c_j$ *with* $\theta=\sum_{j=1}^r\theta_j c_j\in V$,
(iii) $z\in\overline{c^{-1}(V)}$,
where $c^{-1}(t):=(t-ie)(t+ie)^{-1}=e-2i(t+ie)^{-1}$ is called the inverse Cayley transform.

We will later need the following integral formula on Σ to describe the MCJ polynomials.

Lemma 2.3. *Let μ denote the measure associated with the Riemannian structure on Σ induced by the Euclidean structure of $V^{\mathbb{C}}$.*
(1) *If ϕ is an integrable function on Σ, then*

$$\int_\Sigma\phi(\sigma)\,d\mu(\sigma) = 2^n\int_V\phi(c^{-1}(t))|\Delta(e-it)^{-\frac{n}{r}}|^2\,dt. \tag{2.8}$$

(2) *If Ψ is an integrable function on V, then*

$$\int_V \Psi(t)\,dt = 2^n \int_\Sigma \Psi(c(\sigma))|\Delta(e-\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{r}}|^2\,d\mu(\sigma). \tag{2.9}$$

Here, c is a Cayley transform defined by $c(\sigma) := i(e+\sigma)(e-\sigma)^{-1} = -ie + 2i(e-\sigma)^{-1}$.
(3) *If Ψ is an integrable function on V and a K-invariant, then*

$$\int_\Sigma \phi(\sigma)\,d\mu(\sigma) = \widetilde{c}_0 \int_{\mathcal{S}^r} \phi(e^{i\theta}) \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} |e^{i\theta_p} - e^{i\theta_q}|^d\,d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_r. \tag{2.10}$$

Here, $\mathcal{S}^r$ is the direct product of r copies of S^1.

Proof. (1) is Proposition X.2.4 of [FK] itself and (2) also immediately follows from some proposition. Hence, we only prove (3).

Let $\phi \in L^1(\Sigma)^K$. Since for any $k \in K$

$$c^{-1}(kt) = (k(t-ie))(k(t+ie))^{-1} = k((t+ie)(t-ie)^{-1}) = kc^{-1}(t),$$

from Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Sigma \phi(\sigma)\,d\mu(\sigma) &= 2^n \int_V \phi(c^{-1}(t))\Delta(e+t^2)^{-\frac{n}{r}}\,dt \\
&= 2^n\widetilde{c}_0 \int_{K\times\mathbb{R}^r} \phi(c^{-1}(k\lambda))\Delta(e+(k\lambda)^2)^{-\frac{n}{r}} \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} |\lambda_p-\lambda_q|^d\,dk d\lambda_1\cdots d\lambda_r \\
&= 2^n\widetilde{c}_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^r} \phi(c^{-1}(\lambda))\Delta(e+\lambda^2)^{-\frac{n}{r}} \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} |\lambda_p-\lambda_q|^d\,d\lambda_1\cdots d\lambda_r.
\end{aligned}$$

If we put $\lambda_j = -\cot\left(\frac{\theta_j}{2}\right)$, then

$$\lambda = -\sum_{j=1}^r \cot\left(\frac{\theta_j}{2}\right) c_j = i\sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1+e^{i\theta_j}}{1-e^{i\theta_j}} c_j = i\left(\sum_{j=1}^r (1+e^{i\theta_j})c_j\right)\left(\sum_{l=1}^r (1-e^{i\theta_l})c_l\right)^{-1} = c(e^{i\theta}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Sigma \phi(\sigma)\,d\mu(\sigma) &= 2^{n-r}\widetilde{c}_0 \int_{\mathcal{S}^r} \phi(e^{i\theta}) \prod_{j=1}^r \sin\left(\frac{\theta_j}{2}\right)^{2\left(\frac{n}{r}-1\right)} \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} \left|\frac{\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(\theta_p-\theta_q)\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta_p}{2}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\theta_q}{2}\right)}\right|^d d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_r \\
&= \widetilde{c}_0 \int_{\mathcal{S}^r} \phi(e^{i\theta}) \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} |e^{i\theta_p} - e^{i\theta_q}|^d\,d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_r.
\end{aligned}$$

□

For $j = 1, \ldots, r$, let $e_j := c_1 + \cdots + c_j$, and set

$$V^{(j)} := \{x \in V \mid L(e_j)x = x\}.$$

Denote the orthogonal projection of V onto the subalgebra $V^{(j)}$ by P_j, and define

$$\Delta_j(x) := \delta_j(P_j x)$$

for $x \in V$, where δ_j denotes the Koecher norm function for $V^{(j)}$. In particular, $\delta_r = \Delta$. Then, Δ_j is a polynomial on V that is homogeneous of degree j. Let $\mathbf{s} := (s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$ and define the function $\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}$ on V by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}(x) := \Delta(x)^{s_r} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \Delta_j(x)^{s_j - s_{j+1}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Here, we define the branch by $\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}(e) = 1$. That is the generalized power function on V. In particular, for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}$, $\Delta_{\mathbf{m}}$ becomes a polynomial function on V, which is homogeneous of degree $|\mathbf{m}|$. Furthermore, $\Delta_{\mathbf{s}}$ can be extended to the function on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ by analytic continuation.

The gamma function Γ_Ω for the symmetric cone Ω is defined, for $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^r$, with $\operatorname{Re} s_j > \frac{d}{2}(j-1)\,(j = 1, \ldots, r)$ by

$$\Gamma_\Omega(\mathbf{s}) := \int_\Omega e^{-\operatorname{tr}(x)} \Delta_{\mathbf{s}}(x) \Delta(x)^{-\frac{n}{r}}\, dx. \tag{2.12}$$

Its evaluation gives

$$\Gamma_\Omega(\mathbf{s}) = (2\pi)^{\frac{n-r}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \Gamma\left(s_j - \frac{d}{2}(j-1)\right). \tag{2.13}$$

Hence, Γ_Ω extends analytically as a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^r$.

For $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^r$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}$, we define the generalized shifted factorial by

$$(\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{m}} := \frac{\Gamma_\Omega(\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{m})}{\Gamma_\Omega(\mathbf{s})}. \tag{2.14}$$

It follows from (2.13) that

$$(\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{m}} = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left(s_j - \frac{d}{2}(j-1)\right)_{m_j}. \tag{2.15}$$

Lemma 2.4. *If* $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^r, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathscr{P}$ *and* $\mathbf{m} \supset \mathbf{k}$, *then*

$$\left|\frac{(\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{m}}}{(\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{k}}}\right| \le \frac{(\|\mathbf{s}\| + d(r-1))_{\mathbf{m}}}{(\|\mathbf{s}\| + d(r-1))_{\mathbf{k}}}. \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. We remark that for any $s \in \mathbb{C}, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $j = 1, \ldots, r$, the following is satisfied.

$$\left| s + N - \frac{d}{2}(j-1) \right| \leq |s| + N + d(r-1) - \frac{d}{2}(j-1) = |s| + N + \frac{d}{2}(2r - j - 1).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{(\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{m}}}{(\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{k}}} \right| &= \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left| \left(s_j + k_j - \frac{d}{2}(j-1) \right)_{m_j - k_j} \right| \\
&\leq \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left(|s_j| + k_j + d(r-1) - \frac{d}{2}(j-1) \right)_{m_j - k_j} \\
&= \frac{(\|\mathbf{s}\| + d(r-1))_{\mathbf{m}}}{(\|\mathbf{s}\| + d(r-1))_{\mathbf{k}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *If* $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^r, \mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}$, *then*

$$|(\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{m}}| \leq (\|\mathbf{s}\| + d(r-1))_{\mathbf{m}} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{r} (|s_j| + d(r-1))_{m_j}. \tag{2.17}$$

The space, $\mathcal{P}(V)$, of the polynomial ring on V has the following decomposition.

$$\mathcal{P}(V) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{m}},$$

where each $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{m}}$ are mutually inequivalent, and finite dimensional irreducible G-modules. Further, their dimensions are denoted by $d_{\mathbf{m}}$. For $d_{\mathbf{m}}$, the following formula is known (see, [U] Lemma 2.6 or [FK] p. 315).

Lemma 2.6. *For any* $\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}$,

$$d_{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{c(-\rho)}{c(\rho - \mathbf{m})c(\mathbf{m} - \rho)} \tag{2.18}$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq r} \frac{m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p)}{\frac{d}{2}(q-p)} \frac{B\left(m_p - m_q, \frac{d}{2}(q-p-1)+1\right)}{B\left(m_p - m_q, \frac{d}{2}(q-p+1)\right)} \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}j\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}(j-1)+1\right)} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq r} \left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p) \right) \frac{\Gamma\left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p+1)\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p-1)+1\right)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Here, $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$, $\rho_j := \frac{d}{4}(2j - r - 1)$, *and* c *is the Harish-Chandra function:*

$$c(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{1 \le p < q \le r} \frac{B\left(s_q - s_p, \frac{d}{2}\right)}{B\left(\frac{d}{2}(q-p), \frac{d}{2}\right)}.$$

In particular, for $d = 2$

$$d_{\mathbf{m}} = \prod_{1 \le p < q \le r} \left(\frac{m_p - m_q + q - p}{q - p}\right)^2 = s_{\mathbf{m}}(1, \dots, 1)^2. \tag{2.21}$$

Here, $s_{\mathbf{m}}$ *is the Schur polynomial corresponding to* $\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}$ *defined by*

$$s_{\mathbf{m}}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) := \frac{\det\left(\lambda_j^{m_k + r - k}\right)}{\det\left(\lambda_j^{r-k}\right)}.$$

The following lemma is necessary to evaluate the Fourier transform of the multivariate Laguerre polynomial.

Lemma 2.7 ([FK] Theorem XI. 2.3)**.** *For* $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{m}}$, $\operatorname{Re}\alpha > (r-1)\frac{d}{2}$, *and* $z \in T_\Omega$,

$$\int_\Omega e^{-(z|x)} p(x) \Delta(x)^{\alpha - \frac{n}{r}}\, dx = \Gamma_\Omega(\mathbf{m} + \alpha) \Delta(z)^{-\alpha} p(z^{-1}). \tag{2.22}$$

Here, α *is regarded as* $(\alpha, \dots, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^r$.

For each $\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}$, the spherical polynomial of weight $|\mathbf{m}|$ on Ω is defined by

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d)}(x) := \int_K \Delta_{\mathbf{m}}(kx)\, dk. \tag{2.23}$$

We often omit multiplicity d of $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d)}(x)$. The algebra of all K-invariant polynomials on V, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(V)^K$, decomposes as

$$\mathcal{P}(V)^K = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}} \mathbb{C}\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}.$$

By analytic continuation to the complexification $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ of V, we can extend $\operatorname{tr}, \Delta$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}$ to polynomial functions on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Remark 2.8. (1) Since $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{m}}^K$, for $x = k \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j c_j$, $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(x)$ can be expressed by

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) := \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j c_j\right) (= \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(x)).$$

$\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(x)$ also has the following expression (see [F]).

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(d)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) = \frac{P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\frac{2}{d})}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)}{P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\frac{2}{d})}(1, \dots, 1)}. \tag{2.24}$$

Here, $P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\frac{2}{d})}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r)$ is an r-variable Jack polynomial (see [M], Chapter. VI.10). In particular, since $P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r)=s_{\mathbf{m}}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r)$, $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(2)}$ becomes the Schur polynomial.

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(2)}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r)=\frac{s_{\mathbf{m}}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r)}{s_{\mathbf{m}}(1,\dots,1)}=\frac{\delta!}{\prod_{p<q}(m_p-m_q+q-p)}s_{\mathbf{m}}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_r). \tag{2.25}$$

(2) When $r=2$, $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d)}$ has the following hypergeometric expression (see [Sa]).

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{m_1,m_2}^{(d)}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)&=\lambda_1^{m_1}\lambda_2^{m_2}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-(m_1-m_2),\frac{d}{2}\\ d\end{matrix};\frac{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)\\&=\lambda_1^{m_1}\lambda_2^{m_2}\frac{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)_{m_1-m_2}}{(d)_{m_1-m_2}}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-(m_1-m_2),\frac{d}{2}\\ -(m_1-m_2)-\frac{d}{2}+1\end{matrix};\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We remark that the function $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(e+x)$ is a K-invariant polynomial of degree $|\mathbf{m}|$ and define the generalized binomial coefficients $\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}_{\frac{d}{2}}$ by using the following expansion.

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d)}(e+x)=\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|\le|\mathbf{m}|}\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}_{\frac{d}{2}}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(d)}(x). \tag{2.26}$$

For $\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}_{\frac{d}{2}}$, we also often omit $\frac{d}{2}$. The fact that if $\mathbf{k}\not\subset\mathbf{m}$, then $\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}=0$, is well known. Hence, we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(e+x)=\sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}}\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(x). \tag{2.27}$$

Lemma 2.9. *For $z=u\sum_{j=1}^r\lambda_jc_j$ with $u\in U$, $\lambda_1\ge\cdots\ge\lambda_r\ge0$ and $\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}$, we have*

$$|\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z)|\le\lambda_1^{m_1}\cdots\lambda_r^{m_r}\le\lambda_1^{|\mathbf{m}|}=\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\lambda_1). \tag{2.28}$$

Lemma 2.10. *For any $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, $z\in\overline{\mathcal{D}}$, $w\in\mathcal{D}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}}d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(w)=\Delta(w)^{-\alpha}\int_K\Delta(kw^{-1}-z)^{-\alpha}\,dk. \tag{2.29}$$

The spherical function, $\varphi_{\mathbf{s}}$, on Ω for $\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{C}^r$ is defined by

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{s}}(x):=\int_K\Delta_{\mathbf{s}+\rho}(kx)\,dk. \tag{2.30}$$

We remark that for $x\in\Omega$

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{s}}(x^{-1})=\varphi_{-\mathbf{s}}(x) \tag{2.31}$$

and for $x\in\Omega,\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}$

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(x)=\varphi_{\mathbf{m}-\rho}(x). \tag{2.32}$$

Let $\mathbb{D}(\Omega)$ be the algebra of G-invariant differential operators on Ω, $\mathcal{P}(V)^K$ be the space of K-invariant polynomials on V, and $\mathcal{P}(V\times V)^G$ be the space of polynomials on $V\times V$, which are invariant in the sense that

$$p(gx,\xi)=p(x,g^*\xi),\quad (g\in G).$$

Here, we write g^* for the adjoint of an element g (i.e., $(gx|y)=(x|g^*y)$ for all $x,y\in V$). The spherical function $\varphi_{\mathbf{s}}$ is an eigenfunction of every $D\in\mathbb{D}(\Omega)$. Thus, we denote its eigenvalues by $\gamma(D)(\mathbf{s})$, that is, $D\varphi_{\mathbf{s}}=\gamma(D)(\mathbf{s})\varphi_{\mathbf{s}}$.

The symbol σ_D of a partial differential operator D which acts on the variable $x\in V$ is defined by

$$De^{(x|\xi)}=\sigma_D(x,\xi)e^{(x|\xi)}\quad (x,\xi\in V).$$

Differential operator D on Ω is invariant under G if and only if its symbol σ_D belongs to $\mathcal{P}(V\times V)^G$. In addition, the map $D\mapsto\sigma_D$ establishes a linear isomorphism from $\mathbb{D}(\Omega)$ onto $\mathcal{P}(V\times V)^G$. Moreover, the map $D\mapsto\sigma_D(e,u)$ is a vector space isomorphism from $\mathbb{D}(\Omega)$ onto $\mathcal{P}(V)^K$. In particular, for $\mathbf{k}\in\mathscr{P},\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{C}^r$, we put

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{s}):=\gamma(\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_x))(\mathbf{s})=\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_x)\varphi_{\mathbf{s}}(x)|_{x=e}. \tag{2.33}$$

Here, $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_x)$ is a unique G-invariant differential operator, which is satisfied with

$$\sigma_{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_x)}(e,\xi)=\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)\in\mathcal{P}(V)^K,\quad \text{i.e., } \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_x)e^{(x|\xi)}|_{x=e}=\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\xi)e^{\operatorname{tr}\xi}.$$

We remark that $\Phi_k(\partial_x)=\partial_x^k$ and $\gamma_k(s)=s(s-1)\cdots(s-k+1)$ in the $r=1$ case, and for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{k}\in\mathscr{P}$, we have

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\alpha-\rho)=(-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|}(-\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}. \tag{2.34}$$

The function γ_D is an r variable symmetric polynomial and map $D\mapsto\gamma_D$ is an algebra isomorphism from $\mathbb{D}(\Omega)$ onto algebra $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^r)^{\mathfrak{S}_r}$, which is a special case of the Harish-Chandra isomorphism.

If a K-invariant function ψ is analytic in the neighborhood of e, it admits a spherical Taylor expansion near e:

$$\psi(e+x)=\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathscr{P}}d_{\mathbf{k}}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{k}}}\{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_x)\psi(x)|_{x=e}\}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(x).$$

By the definition of $\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}$, we have

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{s}}(e+x)=\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathscr{P}}d_{\mathbf{k}}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{k}}}\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{s})\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(x).$$

Since $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}=\varphi_{\mathbf{m}-\rho}$,

$$\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}=d_{\mathbf{k}}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{k}}}\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}-\rho).$$

For a complex number α, we define the following differential operator on Ω:

$$D_\alpha = \Delta(x)^{1+\alpha}\Delta(\partial_x)\Delta(x)^{-\alpha}.$$

For this operator, we have

$$\gamma(D_\alpha)(\mathbf{s}) = \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(s_j - \alpha + \frac{d}{4}(r-1)\right). \tag{2.35}$$

The operators $D_{j\frac{d}{2}}$, $j = 0, \ldots, r-1$ generate algebra $\mathbb{D}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.11. *For all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathscr{P}$, there exist some constant $C > 0$ and integer N such that for any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^r$*

$$|\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{s})| \leq C\prod_{l=1}^{r}\left(|s_l| + \frac{d}{4}(r-1)\right)^N. \tag{2.36}$$

Proof. Since algebra $\mathbb{D}(\Omega)$ is generated by $D_{j\frac{d}{2}}$, $j = 0, \ldots, r-1$, for $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_x) \in \mathbb{D}(\Omega)$,

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_x) = \sum_{l_0,\ldots,l_{r-1};\text{finite}} a_{l_0,\ldots,l_{r-1}} D_{0\frac{d}{2}}^{l_0} \cdots D_{(r-1)\frac{d}{2}}^{l_{r-1}}.$$

Here, we remark that for $j = 0, \ldots, r-1$

$$|\gamma(D_{\frac{d}{2}(j-1)})(\mathbf{s})| = \left|\prod_{l=1}^{r}\left(s_l + \frac{d}{4}(r-1) - \frac{d}{2}(j-1)\right)\right| \leq \prod_{l=1}^{r}\left(|s_l| + \frac{d}{4}(r-1)\right).$$

Therefore,

$$|\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{s})| \leq \sum_{l_0,\ldots,l_{r-1};\text{finite}} |a_{l_0,\ldots,l_{r-1}}|\gamma(D_{0\frac{d}{2}})(\mathbf{s})^{l_0}\cdots\gamma(D_{(r-1)\frac{d}{2}})(\mathbf{s})^{l_{r-1}} \leq C\prod_{l=1}^{r}\left(|s_l| + \frac{d}{4}(r-1)\right)^N.$$

□

Lemma 2.12. *For all $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathscr{P}$, we have*

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m} - \rho) \geq 0. \tag{2.37}$$

Proof. Since $\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}-\rho) = \frac{1}{d_{\mathbf{k}}}\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{k}}\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}$ and $d_{\mathbf{k}}, \left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{k}} > 0$, it suffices to show $\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}} \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathscr{P}$. From [OO], generalized binomial coefficients are written as

$$\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}_{\frac{d}{2}} = \frac{P_{\mathbf{k}}^*\left(\mathbf{m}; \frac{d}{2}\right)}{H_{\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}(\mathbf{k})},$$

where $P^*_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{m};\frac{d}{2}\right)$ is the shifted Jack polynomial and $H_{(\frac{d}{2})}(\mathbf{k})>0$ is a deformation of the hook length. Moreover, by using (5.2) in [OO]

$$P^*_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{m};\frac{d}{2}\right)=\frac{\frac{d}{2}\text{-}\dim \mathbf{m}/\mathbf{k}}{\frac{d}{2}\text{-}\dim \mathbf{m}}|\mathbf{m}|(|\mathbf{m}|-1)\cdots(|\mathbf{m}|-|\mathbf{k}|+1).$$

Further, the positivity of the generalized dimensions of the skew Young diagram, $\frac{d}{2}\text{-}\dim \mathbf{m}/\mathbf{k}$, follows from (5.1) of [OO] and Chapter VI. 6 of [M]. Therefore, we obtain the positivity of the shifted Jack polynomial and the conclusion. □

Theorem 2.13. (1) *For $w\in\mathcal{D},\mathbf{k}\in\mathscr{P},\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$(\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}\Delta(e-w)^{-\alpha}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(w(e-w)^{-1})=\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}}d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{x}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}-\rho)\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(w). \tag{2.38}$$

Here, we choose the branch of $\Delta(e-w)^{-\alpha}$ which takes the value 1 at $w=0$.
(2) *For $w\in V^{\mathbb{C}},\mathbf{k}\in\mathscr{P}$, a K-invariant analytic function $e^{\mathrm{tr}\,w}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(w)$ has the following expansion.*

$$e^{\mathrm{tr}\,w}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(w)=\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}}d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}-\rho)\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(w). \tag{2.39}$$

Proof. (1) We take $w=u\sum_{j=1}^r\lambda_j c_j\in\mathcal{D}$ with $u\in U$ and $1>\lambda_1\geq\ldots\geq\lambda_r\geq 0$. By Lemmas 2.9 and 2.11, there exist some $C>0$ and $N\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}}\left|d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{x}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}-\rho)\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(w)\right|&\leq\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}}d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{|(\alpha)_{\mathbf{x}}|}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}|\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}-\rho)||\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(w)|\\&\leq C\prod_{l=1}^r\sum_{x_l\geq 0}\frac{(|\alpha|+d(r-1))_{x_l}}{x_l!}\left(x_l+\frac{d}{2}(r-1)\right)^N\lambda_l^{x_l}<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we remark

$$|\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}-\rho)|\leq C\prod_{l=1}^r\left(\left|x_l-\frac{d}{4}(2l-r-1)\right|+\frac{d}{4}(r-1)\right)^N\leq C\prod_{l=1}^r\left(x_l+\frac{d}{2}(r-1)\right)^N.$$

Therefore, the right hand side of (2.38) converges absolutely. By analytic continuation, it is sufficient to show the assertion when $\mathrm{Re}\,\alpha>\frac{d}{2}(r-1)$ and $w\in\Omega\cap(e-\Omega)\subset\mathcal{D}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_z)\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}}d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{x}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(z)\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(w)\Big|_{z=e}&=\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}}d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{x}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_z)\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(z)|_{z=e}\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(w)\\&=\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}}d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{x}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}-\rho)\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(w).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_z)\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}} d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{x}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(z)\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(w)\Big|_{z=e} = \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_z)\Delta(w)^{-\alpha}\int_K \Delta(kw^{-1}-z)^{-\alpha}\,dk\Big|_{z=e}$$
$$= \Delta(w)^{-\alpha}\int_K \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_z)\Delta(kw^{-1}-z)^{-\alpha}\big|_{z=e}\,dk.$$

Here, from $kw^{-1}-z\in T_\Omega$ for all $k\in K$ and Lemma 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_z)\Delta(kw^{-1}-z)^{-\alpha}\big|_{z=e} &= \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_z)\frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)}\int_\Omega e^{-(x|kw^{-1}-z)}\Delta(x)^\alpha\Delta(x)^{-\frac{n}{r}}\,dx\Big|_{z=e}\\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)}\int_\Omega \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_z)e^{(x|z)}|_{z=e}e^{-(x|kw^{-1})}\Delta(x)^\alpha\Delta(x)^{-\frac{n}{r}}\,dx\\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)}\int_\Omega \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(x)e^{-(kx|(w^{-1}-e))}\Delta(x)^\alpha\Delta(x)^{-\frac{n}{r}}\,dx\\ &= (\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}\Delta(w^{-1}-e)^{-\alpha}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}((w^{-1}-e)^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\partial_z)\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}} d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{x}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(z)\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(w)\Big|_{z=e} &= \Delta(w)^{-\alpha}\int_K (\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}\Delta(w^{-1}-e)^{-\alpha}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}((w^{-1}-e)^{-1})\,dk\\ &= (\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}\Delta(e-w)^{-\alpha}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(w(e-w)^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

(2) Since the right hand side of (2.39) converges absolutely due to a similar argument of (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\mathrm{tr}\ w}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(w) &= \lim_{\alpha\to\infty}(\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}\Delta\left(e-\frac{w}{\alpha}\right)^{-\alpha}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\frac{w}{\alpha}\left(e-\frac{w}{\alpha}\right)^{-1}\right)\\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}} d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}-\rho)\lim_{\alpha\to\infty}(\alpha)_{\mathbf{x}}\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}\left(\frac{w}{\alpha}\right)\\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathscr{P}} d_{\mathbf{x}}\frac{1}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{x}}}\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}-\rho)\Phi_{\mathbf{x}}(w).\end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we preview the gradient for a $\mathbb{C}$-valued and V-valued function f on simple Euclidean Jordan algebra V. In this parts, we refer to [Din]. For differentiable function $f: V\to\mathbb{R}$ and $x,u\in V$, we define the gradient, $\nabla f(x)\in V$, of f by

$$(\nabla f(x)|u) = D_u f(x) = \frac{d}{dt}f(x+tu)\Big|_{t=0}.$$

For a $\mathbb{C}$-valued function $f=f_1+if_2$, we define $\nabla f=\nabla f_1+i\nabla f_2$. For $z=x+iy\in V^{\mathbb{C}}$, we define $D_z=D_x+iD_y$. Moreover, if $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V and

$x = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j e_j \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$, then

$$\nabla f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_j} e_j.$$

We remark that this expression is independent of the choice of an orthonormal basis of V.

For a V-valued function $f : V \to V$ expressed by $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} f_j(x) e_j$, we define ∇f by

$$\nabla f(x) = \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_j(x)}{\partial x_l} e_j e_l.$$

That is also well defined. Let us present some derivation formulas.

Lemma 2.14. (1) *The product rule of differentiation: For V-valued function f, h, we have*

$$\text{tr } (\nabla(f(x)h(x))) = \text{tr } (\nabla f(x))h(x) + f(x) \,\text{tr } (\nabla h(x)). \tag{2.40}$$

For $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions f, h,

$$\nabla(f(x)h(x)) = (\nabla f(x))h(x) + f(x)(\nabla h(x)). \tag{2.41}$$

(2)

$$\nabla x = \frac{n}{r} e. \tag{2.42}$$

(3) *For any invertible element $x \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$,*

$$\text{tr } (x\nabla)x^{-1} := \text{tr } (x(\nabla x^{-1})) = -\frac{n}{r} \,\text{tr } x^{-1}. \tag{2.43}$$

(4) *For $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and an invertible element $x \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$,*

$$\nabla(\Delta(x)^{\beta}) = \beta \Delta(x)^{\beta} x^{-1}. \tag{2.44}$$

(1), (2), and (4) are well known (see [FK], [Din], and [FW]). (3) follows from (1), (2), and $\nabla(xx^{-1}) = \nabla(e) = 0$.

The following recurrence formulas for the spherical functions, some of which involve the gradient, are also well known (see [Din] and [FW]).

Finally, we provide a Plancherel theorem, which is needed to investigate the MCJ polynomials.

Lemma 2.15. *Put*

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\Omega) &:= \{\psi : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|\psi\|_{\Omega}^2 < \infty\}, \\ H^2(V) &:= \Big\{\Psi : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \|\Psi\|_V^2 < \infty \text{ and } \Psi \text{ is continued analytically to } H_\Omega \\ &\qquad \text{as a holomorphic function which satisfies with} \\ &\qquad \sup_{y \in \Omega} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_V |\Psi(x+iy)|^2 \, dx < \infty \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\|\psi\|_\Omega^2 := \int_\Omega |\psi(u)|^2\, du, \quad \|\Psi\|_V^2 := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_V |\Psi(t)|^2\, dt.$$

The (inverse) Fourier transform of an integrable function, ψ, on Ω is defined as

$$(F^{-1}\psi)(t) := \int_\Omega e^{i(t|u)}\psi(u)\, du. \tag{2.45}$$

We have

$$F^{-1} : L^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(V) \ \text{(unitary)}. \tag{2.46}$$

In particular,

$$F^{-1} : L^2(\Omega)^K \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(V)^K \ \text{(unitary)}. \tag{2.47}$$

Proof. From Theorem IX.4.1 in [FK], we have

$$\widetilde{F}^{-1} : L^2(\Omega) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(H_\Omega) \ \text{(unitary)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H^2(H_\Omega) &:= \{\widetilde{\Psi} : H_\Omega := V + i\Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \widetilde{\Psi} \text{ is analytic in } H_\Omega \text{ and } \|\widetilde{\Psi}\|_{H_\Omega}^2 < \infty\}, \\ \|\widetilde{\Psi}\|_{H_\Omega}^2 &:= \sup_{y\in\Omega} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_V |\widetilde{\Psi}(x+iy)|^2\, dx, \\ \widetilde{F}^{-1}(\psi)(z) &:= \int_\Omega e^{i(z|u)}\psi(u)\, du. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from Corollary IX.4.2 in [FK], for function $\widetilde{\Psi} \in H^2(H_\Omega)$, $y \in \Omega$, we write $\widetilde{\Psi}_y(x) := \widetilde{\Psi}(x+iy)$; then,

$$\lim_{y\to 0, y\in\Omega} \widetilde{\Psi}_y = \widetilde{\Psi}_0, \quad \widetilde{\Psi}_0(t) := \int_\Omega e^{i(t|u)}\psi(u)\, du = F^{-1}(\psi)(t),$$

exists in $L^2(V)$ and the map $\widetilde{\Psi} \mapsto \widetilde{\Psi}_0$ is an isometric embedding of $H^2(H_\Omega)$ into $L^2(V)$. Hence, the map $F^{-1} : \psi \mapsto \widetilde{\Psi}_0$ is unitary. The surjectivity of this map follows from the above facts and the definition of $H^2(V)$.

Furthermore, since the inverse Fourier transform F^{-1} and the action of K are commutative, the above unitary isomorphism also holds for the K-invariant spaces. □

2.2 Multivariate Laguerre polynomials and their unitary picture

In this subsection, we promote the multivariate Laguerre polynomials and provide some fundamental lemmas based on [FK], [FW].

First, we recall the multivariate Laguerre polynomials. Let $\alpha > \frac{n}{r} - 1 = \frac{d}{2}(r-1)$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}$.

(1) $\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}$; Multivariate Laguerre polynomials (to multiply exponential)

$$L_\alpha^2(\Omega)^K := \{\psi : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \psi \text{ is } K\text{-invariant and } \|\psi\|_{\alpha,\Omega}^2 < \infty\},$$
$$\|\psi\|_{\alpha,\Omega}^2 := \frac{2^{r\alpha}}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)} \int_\Omega |\psi(u)|^2 \Delta(u)^{\alpha - \frac{n}{r}}\, du,$$
$$\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}(u) := e^{-\operatorname{tr} u} L_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\alpha - \frac{n}{r}\right)}(2u).$$

Here, $L_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\alpha - \frac{n}{r}\right)}(u)$ is the multivariate Laguerre polynomial defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\alpha - \frac{n}{r}\right)}(u) &:= d_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbf{m}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(u) \\ &= d_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbf{m}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} d_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m} - \rho)}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{k}} (\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(u). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that $\{\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}\}_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}}$ form complete orthogonal basis of $L_\alpha^2(\Omega)^K$ and

$$\|\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}\|_{\alpha,\Omega}^2 = d_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}.$$

The multivariate Laguerre polynomials have the following generating function.

Lemma 2.16. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, u \in \Omega$ and $z \in \mathcal{D}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}} L_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\alpha - \frac{n}{r}\right)}(u) \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z) = \Delta(e - z)^{-\alpha} \int_K e^{-(ku | z(e-z)^{-1})}\, dk. \tag{2.48}$$

Proof. By referring to [Dib] (see Proposition 2. 8), (2.48) holds for $\alpha > \frac{n}{r} - 1 = \frac{d}{2}(r-1)$. Moreover, the right hand side of (2.48) is well defined for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, by analytic continuation, it is sufficient to show the absolute convergence of the left hand side under the assumption. By Lemmas 2.4, 2.9, 2.12 and 2.13,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}} |L_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\alpha - \frac{n}{r}\right)}(u) \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z)| &\le \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbf{m}} \left| d_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}} \frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|}}{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(u) \right| \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(a_1) \\ &\le \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathscr{P}} d_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{1}{(|\alpha| + d(r-1))_{\mathbf{k}}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(u) \\ &\quad \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}} d_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{(|\alpha| + d(r-1))_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m} - \rho) \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(a_1) \\ &= (1 - a_1)^{-r|\alpha| - dr(r-1)} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathscr{P}} d_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{k}}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\frac{a_1}{1 - a_1} u\right) \\ &= (1 - a_1)^{-r|\alpha| - dr(r-1)} e^{\frac{a_1}{1 - a_1} \operatorname{tr} u} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

The multivariate Laguerre polynomials also satisfy with the following differential equation.

Lemma 2.17. *Let us consider the operators* $D_\alpha^{(1)}$.

$$D_\alpha^{(1)} = \mathrm{tr}\ (-u\nabla_u^2 - \alpha\nabla_u + u - \alpha e). \tag{2.49}$$

We have

$$D_\alpha^{(1)}\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}(u) = 2|\mathbf{m}|\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}(u). \tag{2.50}$$

3 Multivariate circular Jacobi polynomials

In the first subsection of this section, we introduce a new multivariate orthogonal polynomial, $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d)}(\sigma;\alpha,\nu) = \phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma)$, which is a 2-parameter deformation of the spherical polynomial. This is also regarded as a multivariate analogue of the circular Jacobi polynomial. Hence, we call this polynomial the *multivariate circular Jacobi (MCJ) polynomial* that degenerates to a 1-parameter deformation of the usual circular Jacobi polynomial, $\phi_m^{(\alpha)}(e^{i\theta})$, in the one variable case. Further, the weight function of its orthogonality relation coincides with the circular Jacobi ensemble defined by Bourgade et al. [BNR].

We derive a generating function of $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ in subsection 3.2 and a pseudo-differential equation for $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ in the subsection 3.3. In case of the multiplicity $d = 2$, we give a determinant formula for the MCJ polynomials in subsection 3.4. Moreover, we study the one variable case in more detail in subsection 3.5. Finally, we describe future work for $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$.

Unless otherwise specified, we have assumed $\alpha > \frac{n}{r} - 1 = \frac{d}{2}(r-1)$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ in this section.

3.1 Definitions and orthogonality

Following Section 2, we introduce some function space, functions that become complete orthogonal bases and unitary transformations required to provide the MCJ polynomials.
(2) $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$; Modified Fourier transform of $\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}$

$$H^2_{\alpha,\nu}(V)^K := \{\Psi : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \Psi \in H^2(V) \text{ is } K\text{-invariant and } \|\Psi\|^2_{\alpha,\nu,V} < \infty\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Psi\|^2_{\alpha,\nu,V} &:= \frac{2^{r\alpha}}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)}\left|\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)\right|^2 \|\Psi\|_V^2 \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{c_0}}{(2\pi)^n}\frac{2^{r\alpha}}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)}\left|\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)\right|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^r} |\Psi(\lambda)|^2 \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} |\lambda_p-\lambda_q|^d\, d\lambda_1\cdots d\lambda_r,\end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t) := \Delta(e-it)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\widetilde{\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}}(t),$$

$$\widetilde{\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}}(t) := d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}}(-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|}\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)_{\mathbf{k}}}{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(2(e-it)^{-1}).$$

Here, $\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j c_j$ and we choose the branch of $\Delta(e-it)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}$ which takes the value 1 at $t=0$.

(3) $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$; MCJ polynomials

$$H^2_{\alpha,\nu}(\Sigma)^K := \{\phi : \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi \text{ is } K\text{-invariant and continued analytically to } \mathcal{D} \text{ as a holomorphic function which satisfies with } \|\phi\|^2_{\alpha,\nu,\Sigma} < \infty\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi\|^2_{\alpha,\nu,\Sigma} &:= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)}\left|\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)\right|^2 \int_\Sigma |\phi(\sigma)|^2 |\Delta(e-\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\frac{n}{r})+i\nu}|^2\, d\mu(\sigma)\\ &= \frac{\widetilde{c_0}}{(2\pi)^n}\frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)}\left|\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)\right|^2\\ &\quad\cdot \int_{\mathcal{S}^r} |\phi(e^{i\theta})|^2 \prod_{j=1}^r |(1-e^{i\theta_j})^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}|^2 \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} |e^{i\theta_p}-e^{i\theta_q}|^d\, d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_r.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we define the multivariate circular Jacobi polynomial by

$$\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d)}(\sigma;\alpha,\nu) = \phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma) := d_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)_{\mathbf{k}}}{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(e-\sigma). \tag{3.1}$$

The main purpose of this subsection is to show that these polynomials form the complete orthogonal basis of $H^2_{\alpha,\nu}(\Sigma)^K$ and to explicitly write their orthogonal relations. To achieve that purpose, we introduce a modified Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1}$ for a function ψ on Ω and the second inverse modified Cayley transform $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}$ as follows.

$$(\mathcal{F}_\alpha^{-1}\psi)(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)\right)} (F^{-1}(\Delta(u)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\frac{n}{r})}\psi))(t) \tag{3.2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)\right)} \int_\Omega e^{i(t|u)}\psi(u)\Delta(u)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)}\, du, \tag{3.3}$$

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}\Psi)(\sigma) := \Delta(e-ic(\sigma))^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\Psi(c(\sigma)) = \Delta\left(\frac{e-\sigma}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\Psi(c(\sigma)). \tag{3.4}$$

These give the following unitary isomorphisms.

Theorem 3.1. (1)

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1} := \mathcal{F}_{\alpha+2i\nu}^{-1} : & L^2_\alpha(\Omega)^K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^2_{\alpha,\nu}(V)^K \quad \text{(unitary)}.\\ & \cup & & \cup \\ & \psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)} & \longmapsto & \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)} \end{array}$$

In particular, $\{\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}\}_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}}$ *form the complete orthogonal basis of* $H^2_{\alpha,\nu}(V)^K$ *and for all* $\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\in\mathscr{P}$,

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_V \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)\overline{\Psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)}\, dt = d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha+\mathbf{m})}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}\frac{1}{\left|\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)\right|^2}\delta_{\mathbf{mn}}. \tag{3.5}$$

(2)

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}: & H^2_{\alpha,\nu}(V)^K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^2_{\alpha,\nu}(\Sigma)^K \quad \text{(unitary)}. \\ & \cup & & \cup \\ & \Psi^{(\alpha,\nu)}_{\mathbf{m}} & \longmapsto & \phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}_{\mathbf{m}} \end{array}$$

Furthermore, the MCJ polynomials form the complete orthogonal basis of $H^2_{\alpha,\nu}(\Sigma)^K$ *and for all* $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathscr{P}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_\Sigma \phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma)\overline{\phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}_{\mathbf{n}}(\sigma)}|\Delta(e-\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\frac{n}{r})+i\nu}|^2\,d\mu(\sigma) \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{c_0}}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathcal{S}^r}\phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}_{\mathbf{m}}(e^{i\theta})\overline{\phi^{(\alpha,\nu)}_{\mathbf{n}}(e^{i\theta})}\prod_{j=1}^r|(1-e^{i\theta_j})^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}|^2\prod_{1\le k<l\le r}|e^{i\theta_k}-e^{i\theta_l}|^d\,d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_r \\ &= d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha+\mathbf{m})}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}\frac{1}{\left|\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)\right|^2}\delta_{\mathbf{mn}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. (1) Observing that

$$\begin{array}{rccc} L^2_\alpha(\Omega)^K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & L^2(\Omega)^K & \text{(unitary)}, \\ \cup & & \cup & \\ \psi & \longmapsto & \left(\frac{2^{r\alpha}}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Delta(u)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\psi & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rccc} H^2_{\alpha,\nu}(V)^K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^2(V)^K & \text{(unitary)}. \\ \cup & & \cup & \\ \Psi & \longmapsto & \frac{2^{\frac{r\alpha}{2}}}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)\Psi & \end{array}$$

In addition to using Lemma 2.15, we immediately obtain the following unitary isomorphism $\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\alpha,\nu}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L^2_\alpha(\Omega)^K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & L^2(\Omega)^K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^2(V)^K & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H^2_{\alpha,\nu}(V)^K. \\ \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ \psi & \longmapsto & \left(\frac{2^{r\alpha}}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Delta(u)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\psi & \longmapsto & F^{-1}\left(\frac{2^{\frac{r\alpha}{2}}}{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\Delta(u)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\psi\right) & \longmapsto & \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\alpha,\nu}(\psi) \end{array}$$

Next, we evaluate the modified Fourier transform of $\psi^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbf{m}}$ which forms the complete orthogonal basis for $L^2_\alpha(\Omega)^K$. From Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\alpha,\nu}(e^{-\operatorname{tr} u}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}})(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)_{\mathbf{k}}\Delta(e-it)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}((e-it)^{-1}).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}(\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)})(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)}F^{-1}(\Delta(u)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)})(t)\\ &= d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}}(-2)^{|\mathbf{k}|}\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}\frac{1}{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}}\\ &\quad\cdot\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)}\int_{\Omega}e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}e^{-\operatorname{tr} u}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(u)\,du\\ &= \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}\delta_{\mathbf{mn}} &= (\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)},\psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\alpha)})_{\alpha,\Omega}\\ &= (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}(\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}),\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}(\psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\alpha)}))_{\alpha,\nu,V}\\ &= \frac{2^{r\alpha}}{\Gamma_{\Omega}(\alpha)}\frac{\left|\Gamma_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)\right)+i\nu\right|^2}{(2\pi)^n}\int_V\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)\overline{\Psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)}\,dt.\end{aligned}$$

(2) We remark that the inverse Cayley transform c^{-1} is a holomorphic bijection of H_Ω onto $\mathcal{D}$ and the inverse map of $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}$ is given by

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}\phi)(t) := \Delta\left(\frac{e-c^{-1}(t)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\phi(c^{-1}(t)) = \Delta(e-it)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\phi(c^{-1}(t)). \quad (3.7)$$

Hence, since $\{\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}\}_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}}$ form the complete orthogonal basis of $H_{\alpha,\nu}^2(V)^K$, it is sufficient to show the statement for $\{\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}\}_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}}$ and $\{\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}\}_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}}$.

First, by Theorem IX.4.1 in [FK],

$$\|\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}\|_{H_\Omega}^2 = \sup_{y\in\Omega}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_V|\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(x+iy)|^2\,dx = \frac{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha)}{2^{r\alpha}\left|\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)\right)+i\nu\right|^2}\|\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}\|_\Omega^2<\infty.$$

Thus, we need not to consider the condition for $\|\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}\|_{H_\Omega}^2$. Furthermore, by the definition, we have

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)})(\sigma) = \Delta\left(\frac{e-\sigma}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\Delta(e-ic(\sigma))^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\widetilde{\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}}(c(\sigma)) = \phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma),$$

and from (2.9) of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_V|\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)|^2\,dt &= 2^n\int_\Sigma|\Delta(e-\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{r}}|^2|\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(c(\sigma))|^2\,d\mu(\sigma)\\ &= 2^n\int_\Sigma|\Delta(e-\sigma)^{-\frac{n}{r}}|^2\left|\Delta\left(\frac{e-\sigma}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\right|^2|(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)})(\sigma)|^2\,d\mu(\sigma)\\ &= 2^{-r\alpha}\int_\Sigma|\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma)|^2|\Delta(e-\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}|^2\,d\mu(\sigma).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)},\phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\alpha,\nu)})_{\alpha,\nu,\Sigma}=(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}(\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}),\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}(\Psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}))_{\alpha,\nu,\Sigma}=(\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)},\Psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\alpha,\nu)})_{\alpha,\nu,V}=d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}\delta_{\mathbf{mn}}.$$

(3.6) follows from (2.10) of Lemma 2.3 immediately. □

Remark 3.2. (1) Our multivariate orthogonal polynomials are not equal BC-type multivariate Jacobi polynomials. Actually, the weight function of the left hand side for (3.6)

$$\prod_{j=1}^{r}(1-e^{i\theta_j})^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}(1-e^{-i\theta_j})^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\prod_{1\le p<q\le r}|e^{i\theta_p}-e^{i\theta_q}|^d$$

coincides with the circular Jacobi ensemble defined by [BNR], which is not the BC-type (Weyl group invariant) weight function.
(2) When $\alpha=\frac{n}{r},\nu=0$, (3.1) and (3.6) degenerate to

$$\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\frac{n}{r},0)}(e^{i\theta})=d_{\mathbf{m}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}}(-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|}\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(e-e^{i\theta})=d_{\mathbf{m}}\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(e^{i\theta}), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\Sigma}\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\frac{n}{r},0)}(\sigma)\overline{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\frac{n}{r},0)}(\sigma)}\,d\mu(\sigma)\\&=\frac{\widetilde{c_0}}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathcal{S}^r}\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\frac{n}{r},0)}(e^{i\theta})\overline{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\frac{n}{r},0)}(e^{i\theta})}\prod_{1\le p<q\le r}|e^{i\theta_p}-e^{i\theta_q}|^d\,d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_r=d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)}\delta_{\mathbf{mn}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Therefore, $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(e^{i\theta})$ is regarded as a 2-parameter deformation of the spherical polynomial.

As a generalization of spherical polynomial $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d)}$, the Jack polynomial $P_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\frac{2}{d})}$ which is a generalization for multiplicity d is well known (see [M], Chapter VI). This multivariate special orthogonal polynomial system is derived as the simultaneous eigenfunctions of some commuting differential operators. On the other hand, using the unitary picture, we obtain another extension $\phi_m^{(\alpha,\nu)}$, which is different from the Jack polynomial, that is, instead of the multiplicity d, we consider deformations for real 2-parameters α and ν.
(3) We remark that the relations between the MCJ polynomials and the Multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials. The Multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials $P_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{s};\theta)$ are introduced by Faraut-Wakayama [FW].

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{s};\theta)&:=e^{i|\mathbf{m}|\theta}d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{(2\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}}\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}\frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(-i\mathbf{s}-\alpha)}{(2\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}}(1-e^{-2i\theta})^{|\mathbf{k}|}\\&=e^{i|\mathbf{m}|\theta}d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{(2\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}}d_{\mathbf{k}}\frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{m}-\rho)\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(-i\mathbf{s}-\alpha)}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{k}}(2\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}}(1-e^{-2i\theta})^{|\mathbf{k}|}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha>\frac{n}{r}-1,0<\theta<2\pi$, this system has the following orthogonality relations.

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^r}\int_{\mathbb{R}^r}q_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\theta)}(\mathbf{s})\overline{q_{\mathbf{n}}^{(\alpha,\theta)}(\mathbf{s})}e^{(2\theta-\pi)|\mathbf{s}|}\left|\Gamma_\Omega\left(i\mathbf{s}+\frac{\alpha}{2}+\rho\right)\right|^2\frac{m(d\mathbf{s})}{|c(i\mathbf{s})|^2}=d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha+\mathbf{m})}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}(2\sin\theta)^{r\alpha}}\delta_{\mathbf{mn}}.$$

Here, $q_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\theta)}(\mathbf{s}) = e^{-i|\mathbf{m}|\theta} P_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\frac{\alpha}{2})}(\mathbf{s};\theta)$ and m is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^r$. From (2.34), for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}$, we have

$$\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(e^{i\theta}e) = q_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\alpha,-\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}\left(\nu - i\left(\frac{n}{2r}+\rho\right)\right). \tag{3.10}$$

3.2 Generating function

By using these unitary isomorphisms, we present the generating functions of MCJ polynomials from (2.48).

Theorem 3.3. *We assume $z = u\sum_{j=1}^r a_j c_j \in \mathcal{D}$ with $u \in U$, $1 > a_1 \geq \ldots \geq a_r \geq 0$ and $a_1 < \frac{1}{3}$.*
(1) For all $t \in V$,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}} \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z) = \Delta(e-z)^{-\alpha}\int_K \Delta((e+z)(e-z)^{-1} - ikt)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\,dk. \tag{3.11}$$

(2) For any $\sigma \in \Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}} \phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z) &= \Delta(e-z)^{-\alpha} \\ &\quad\cdot \Delta(e-\sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\int_K \Delta(z(e-z)^{-1} + k(e-\sigma)^{-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\,dk.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. (1) From a similar argument in the proof of Lemma 2.16,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}} |\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}(u)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z)|\right)(t) &\leq (1-a_1)^{-r|\alpha|-dr(r-1)}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}(e^{-\frac{1-3a_1}{1-a_1}\operatorname{tr} u})(t) \\ &= (1-a_1)^{-r|\alpha|-dr(r-1)}\Delta\left(\frac{1-3a_1}{1-a_1}e - it\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the exchange of integration and summation is justified and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}} \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z) &= \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}(\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)})(t)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}} \psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}(u)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z)\right)(t) \\ &= \Delta(e-z)^{-\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}\left(\int_K e^{-(ku|(e+z)(e-z)^{-1})}\,dk\right)(t).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}\left(\int_K e^{-(ku|(e+z)(e-z)^{-1})}\,dk\right)(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)} \\ &\quad\cdot\int_\Omega e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\int_K e^{-(ku|(e+z)(e-z)^{-1})}\,dkdu \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)} \\ &\quad\cdot\int_K\int_\Omega e^{-(u|k(e+z)(e-z)^{-1}-it)}\Delta(u)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\,dudk \\ &= \int_K \Delta(k(e+z)(e-z)^{-1}-it)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\,dk \\ &= \int_K \Delta((e+z)(e-z)^{-1}-ikt)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\,dk.\end{aligned}$$

(2) Applying the modified Cayley transform $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}$ to (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}}\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z) &= \Delta(e-z)^{-\alpha}\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}\left(\int_K \Delta((e+z)(e-z)^{-1}-ikt)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\,dk\right)(\sigma) \\ &= \Delta(e-z)^{-\alpha}\Delta\left(\frac{e-\sigma}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu} \\ &\quad\cdot\int_K \Delta((e+z)(e-z)^{-1}-ikc(\sigma))^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu}\,dk.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(e+z)(e-z)^{-1}-ikc(\sigma) = 2z(e-z)^{-1}+2k(e-\sigma)^{-1},$$

we have the conclusion.

□

3.3 Differential equation for $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$

Considering some pseudo-differential operator that is defined by

$$\text{tr }(\nabla_t^{-1})e^{(t|u)} = \text{tr }(u^{-1})e^{(t|u)} \quad (\text{any } t\in V, u\in\Omega), \tag{3.13}$$

we obtain the explicit (pseudo-) differential equations for $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ as follows.

Theorem 3.4. *The operator $D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}$ on V is defined by the relation $D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}D_\alpha^{(1)}$. Then, we obtain*

$$D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)} = \text{tr}\left(-i(e+t^2)\nabla_t+\left(2\nu-i\frac{n}{r}\right)t-\alpha e+i\left(\frac{1}{4}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)^2+\nu^2\right)\nabla_t^{-1}\right), \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t) = 2|\mathbf{m}|\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t). \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. From Theorem 3.1, to prove (3.14), it suffices to show the relation for complete orthogonal basis $\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}$ for $L^2_\alpha(\Omega)^K$

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\alpha,\nu} D^{(1)}_\alpha \psi^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbf{m}})(t) = \widetilde{D^{(2)}_{\alpha,\nu}}((\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\alpha,\nu}\psi^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbf{m}})(t)),$$

where $D^{(2)}_{\alpha,\nu}$ is the operator on the right hand side of (3.14).

By the very definition of the modified Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\alpha,\nu}$ and the inner product of $L^2_\alpha(\Omega)$, we can write

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\alpha,\nu}\psi)(t) = (e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}|\overline{\psi})_{L^2_\alpha(\Omega)}, \tag{3.16}$$

and from Lemma 3.13 in [FW], $D^{(1)}_\alpha = \overline{D^{(1)}_\alpha}$ is a self-adjoint operator with respect to the measure $\Delta(u)^{\alpha-\frac{n}{r}}\,du$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\alpha,\nu} D^{(1)}_\alpha \psi^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbf{m}})(t) &= (e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}|\overline{D^{(1)}_\alpha \psi^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbf{m}}})_{L^2_\alpha(\Omega)}\\ &= (D^{(1)}_\alpha(e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu})|\overline{\psi^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbf{m}}})_{L^2_\alpha(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, based on Lemma 2.14, let us perform

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tr}(u\nabla_u^2)(e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}) &= \operatorname{tr}(u(\nabla_u^2 e^{i(t|u)}))\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\\ &\quad + 2\operatorname{tr}(u(\nabla_u e^{i(t|u)})(\nabla_u\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}))\\ &\quad + e^{i(t|u)}\operatorname{tr}(u\nabla_u^2\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu})\\ &= e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\left\{\operatorname{tr}(-ut^2) - i\left(\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)-2i\nu\right)\operatorname{tr}(t)\right.\\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu\right)\operatorname{tr}(u^{-1})\right\},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tr}(\alpha\nabla_u)(e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}) &= \alpha\operatorname{tr}(\nabla_u e^{i(t|u)})\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\\ &\quad + \alpha e^{i(t|u)}\operatorname{tr}(\nabla_u\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu})\\ &= \operatorname{tr}\left(i\alpha t - \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu\right)u^{-1}\right)e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we remark that for any $p\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ $\operatorname{tr}((\nabla_u^p e^{i(t|u)})) = \operatorname{tr}((it)^p)e^{i(t|u)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tr}(u\nabla_u^2\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}) &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)\\ &\quad \left\{\operatorname{tr}(u(\nabla_u u^{-1}))\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu} + \operatorname{tr}(\nabla_u\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu})\right\}\\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha+\frac{n}{r}\right)-i\nu\right)\operatorname{tr}(u^{-1})\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathrm{tr}\,((\nabla_u e^{i(t|u)})(u\nabla_u\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu})) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu\right)\mathrm{tr}\,(it)e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\alpha^{(1)}(e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}) &= \mathrm{tr}\ (-u\nabla_u^2-\alpha\nabla_u+u-\alpha e)(e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}) \\
&= \mathrm{tr}\left((e+t^2)u+\left(2\nu-i\frac{n}{r}\right)t-\alpha e+\left(\frac{1}{4}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)^2+\nu^2\right)u^{-1}\right) \\
&\quad\cdot e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu} \\
&= \widetilde{D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}}e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}D_\alpha^{(1)}\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)})(t) &= (\widetilde{D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}}e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\overline{|\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}})_{L_\alpha^2(\Omega)} \\
&= \widetilde{D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}}(e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\overline{|\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)}})_{L_\alpha^2(\Omega)} \\
&= \widetilde{D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}}((\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}\psi)(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality is justified by $e^{i(t|u)}\Delta(u)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha-\frac{n}{r}\right)+i\nu}\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)} \in L^1(\Omega)$. Finally, since $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism from the space $L_\alpha^2(\Omega)^K$ onto $H_{\alpha,\nu}^2(V)^K$, we obtain $D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)} = \widetilde{D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}}$.

On the other hand, for (3.15), from the definition of $D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}$ and (2.50), we have

$$D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t) = D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}(\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)})(t) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}(D_\alpha^{(1)}\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)})(t) = 2|\mathbf{m}|\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}(\psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha)})(t) = 2|\mathbf{m}|\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t).$$

□

We can also define $D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(3)}$ by the relation $D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(3)}\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1} = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(2)}$. However, since there are difficulties in deriving the modified Cayley transform of ∇_t^{-1}, we have not been able to obtain the explicit expression for $D_{\alpha,\nu}^{(3)}$ like that in the above theorem. On the other hand, when $\alpha = \frac{n}{r}, \nu = 0$, $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\frac{n}{r},0)}(t)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\frac{n}{r},0)}(t) &= \Delta(e-it)^{-\frac{n}{r}}d_{\mathbf{m}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}}(-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|}\binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(2(e-it)^{-1}) \\
&= \Delta(e-it)^{-\frac{n}{r}}d_{\mathbf{m}}\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(c^{-1}(t)). \qquad (3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

(3.18)

Further, the term of the pseudo-differential operator vanishes for $D_{\frac{n}{r},0}^{(2)}$. Hence,

$$D_{\frac{n}{r},0}^{(2)} = -i\,\mathrm{tr}\,((e+t^2)\partial_t) - \frac{n}{r}\,\mathrm{tr}\ (e+it). \qquad (3.19)$$

Therefore, we obtain the following explicit expression for $D_{\frac{n}{r},0}^{(3)}$ from the modified Cayley transform of $D_{\frac{n}{r},0}^{(2)}$.

$$D_{\frac{n}{r},0}^{(3)} = 2\,\mathrm{tr}\ (\sigma\nabla_\sigma). \qquad (3.20)$$

3.4 Determinant formulas

In this subsection, we assume $d = 2$ (In particular, we remark $\frac{n}{r} = r$). In the case $d = 2$, the spherical polynomials $\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}$ degenerate to the Schur polynomials $s_{\mathbf{m}}$ (recall (2.25)). Further, there are some determinant formulas for $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$. Before giving main theorem, we provide some Lemmas needed to prove the determinant formulas.

Lemma 3.5 ([H] Theorem 1.2.1)**.** *Consider r power series of single variable $z \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$f_j(z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} A_m^{(j)} z^j \quad (j = 1, \cdots, r).$$

Then,

$$\frac{\det\left(f_j(z_l)\right)}{V(z_1, \ldots, z_r)} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}} A_{\mathbf{m}} s_{\mathbf{m}}(z_1, \ldots, z_r), \tag{3.21}$$

where $V(z_1, \ldots, z_r)$ denote the Vandermonde determinant

$$V(z_1, \ldots, z_r) := \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq r} (z_p - z_q),$$

and

$$A_{\mathbf{m}} := \det\left(A_{m_p + r - p}^{(q)}\right).$$

Corollary 3.6. *For $w = \sum_{j=1}^r w_j c_j, z = \sum_{j=1}^r z_j c_j$ with $w_1, \ldots, w_r, z_1, \ldots, z_r \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$\Delta(w)^{-\alpha} \int_K \Delta(kw^{-1} - z)^{-\alpha} dk = \delta! \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{(\alpha - r + 1)_{j-1}} \frac{\det\left((1 - w_p z_q)^{-(\alpha - r + 1)}\right)}{V(w_1, \ldots, w_r) V(z_1, \ldots, z_r)}. \tag{3.22}$$

Proof. We assume $|z_j|, |w_j| < 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, r$ and consider the r power series

$$f_j(w) = (1 - w z_j)^{-(\alpha - r + 1)} = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(\alpha - r + 1)_m}{m!} w^m z_j^m \quad (j = 1, \cdots, r).$$

From Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\det\left((1 - w_p z_q)^{-(\alpha - r + 1)}\right)}{V(w_1, \ldots, w_r) V(z_1, \ldots, z_r)} &= \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}} \det\left(\frac{(\alpha - r + 1)_{m_p + r - p}}{(m_p + r - p)!} w_q^{m_p + r - p}\right) \frac{s_{\mathbf{m}}(z_1, \ldots, z_r)}{V(w_1, \ldots, w_r)} \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{(\alpha - r + 1)_{m_j + r - j}}{m_j + r - j} \right\} s_{\mathbf{m}}(w_1, \ldots, w_r) s_{\mathbf{m}}(z_1, \ldots, z_r) \\
&= \frac{1}{\delta!} \prod_{j=1}^r (\alpha - r + 1)_{j-1} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathscr{P}} \frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}} s_{\mathbf{m}}(w_1, \ldots, w_r) s_{\mathbf{m}}(z_1, \ldots, z_r).
\end{aligned}$$

Further, by (2.21), (2.25) and (2.29), we prove (3.22) for $|z_1|, \ldots, |z_r|, |w_1|, \ldots, |w_r| < 1$. Finally, by analytic continuation, we prove this corollary holds for $w_1, \ldots, w_r, z_1, \ldots, z_r \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. □

Theorem 3.7. (1) *Let* $t = \sum_{j=1}^{r} t_j c_j \in V$. *We have*

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t) = \frac{s_{\mathbf{m}}(1,\dots,1)}{(-2i)^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}}}\delta! \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-r)+i\nu+1\right)_{j-1}} \frac{\det\left(\Psi_{m_p+r-p}^{(\alpha-r+1,\nu)}(t_q)\right)}{V(t_1,\dots,t_r)}. \tag{3.23}$$

(2) *Let* $\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sigma_j c_j \in \Sigma$. *We have*

$$\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma) = s_{\mathbf{m}}(1,\dots,1)\delta! \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-r)+i\nu+1\right)_{j-1}} \frac{\det\left(\phi_{m_p+r-p}^{(\alpha-r+1,\nu)}(\sigma_q)\right)}{V(\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_r)}. \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. (1) We start from the generating formula for $\Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)$. For $z = \sum_{j=1}^{r} z_j c_j, 0 < z_1,\dots,z_r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}} \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z) &= \Delta(e-z)^{-\alpha} \int_K \Delta((e+z)(e-z)^{-1} - ikt)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+r)-i\nu}\, dk \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{r}(1-z_j)^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{1+z_j}{1-z_j}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+r)-i\nu} \\ &\quad \cdot \delta! \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-r)+i\nu+1\right)_{j-1}} \frac{\det\left(\left(1-\frac{1-z_p}{1+z_p}it_q\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-r)-i\nu-1}\right)}{V\left(\frac{1-z_1}{1+z_1},\dots,\frac{1-z_r}{1+z_r}\right)V(it_1,\dots,it_r)}.\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from (3.22). Further, noticing that

$$\frac{1-z_p}{1+z_p} - \frac{1-z_q}{1+z_q} = -2\frac{z_p - z_q}{(1+z_p)(1+z_q)},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}} \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z) &= (-2i)^{-\frac{r(r-1)}{2}}\delta! \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-r)+i\nu+1\right)_{j-1}} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\det\left((1-z_p)^{-(\alpha-r+1)}\left(\frac{1+z_p}{1-z_p}-it_q\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-r+1)-\frac{1}{2}-i\nu}\right)}{V(z_1,\dots,z_r)\,V(t_1,\dots,t_r)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, by (3.11), we remark

$$f_q(z) = (1-z)^{-(\alpha-r+1)}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}-it_q\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-r+1)-\frac{1}{2}-i\nu} = \sum_{m\geq 0} \Psi_m^{(\alpha-r+1,\nu)}(t_q) z^m.$$

Therefore, we expand the above determinant expression in Schur function series by using Lemma 3.5.

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}} \Psi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(t)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z) = (-2i)^{-\frac{r(r-1)}{2}}\delta! \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-r)+i\nu+1\right)_{j-1}} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}} \frac{\det\left(\Psi_{m_p+r-p}^{(\alpha-r+1,\nu)}(t_q)\right)}{V(t_1,\ldots,t_r)} s_{\mathbf{m}}(z_1,\ldots,z_r).$$

Finally, by comparing of $s_{\mathbf{m}}(z_1,\ldots,z_r) = s_{\mathbf{m}}(1,\ldots,1)\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}(z)$ on the above equation for $\mathbf{m}\in\mathscr{P}$, we obtain (3.23).

(2) Applying the modified Cayley transform $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu}^{-1}$ to (3.23), we obtain

$$\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma) = (-2i)^{-\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} s_{\mathbf{m}}(1,\ldots,1)\delta! \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-r)+i\nu+1\right)_{j-1}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_j}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+r)-i\nu} \frac{\det\left(\Psi_{m_p+r-p}^{(\alpha-r+1,\nu)}\left(i\frac{1+\sigma_q}{1-\sigma_q}\right)\right)}{V\left(i\frac{1+\sigma_1}{1-\sigma_1},\ldots,i\frac{1+\sigma_r}{1-\sigma_r}\right)}.$$

Since

$$i\frac{1+\sigma_p}{1-\sigma_p} - i\frac{1+\sigma_q}{1-\sigma_q} = 2i\frac{\sigma_p-\sigma_q}{(1-\sigma_p)(1-\sigma_q)}$$

and

$$\phi_{m_p+r-p}^{(\alpha-r+1,\nu)}(\sigma_q) = \left(\frac{1-\sigma_q}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}((\alpha-r+1)+1)-i\nu} \Psi_{m_p+r-p}^{(\alpha-r+1,\nu)}\left(i\frac{1+\sigma_q}{1-\sigma_q}\right),$$

we have

$$(-2i)^{-\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \frac{\det\left(\Psi_{m_p+r-p}^{(\alpha-r+1,\nu)}\left(i\frac{1+\sigma_q}{1-\sigma_q}\right)\right)}{V\left(i\frac{1+\sigma_1}{1-\sigma_1},\ldots,i\frac{1+\sigma_r}{1-\sigma_r}\right)} = \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(\frac{1-\sigma_j}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+r)+i\nu} \frac{\det\left(\phi_{m_p+r-p}^{(\alpha-r+1,\nu)}(\sigma_q)\right)}{V(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_r)}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the conclusion. □

3.5 One variable case

In this subsection, we have assumed that $r=1$.

First, we remark that (3.1) becomes

$$\phi_m^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma) := \frac{(\alpha)_m}{m!}\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k\binom{m}{k}\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)+i\nu\right)_k}{(\alpha)_k}(1-\sigma)^k \tag{3.25}$$

$$= \frac{(\alpha)_m}{m!}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-m, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)+i\nu \\ \alpha\end{matrix}; 1-\sigma\right) \tag{3.26}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2}-i\nu\right)}{m!}{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix}-m, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)+i\nu \\ -m-\frac{\alpha-3}{2}+i\nu\end{matrix}; \sigma\right). \tag{3.27}$$

and for $\alpha > 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$, (3.6) degenerates to

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma} \phi_m^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma)\overline{\phi_n^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma)}|(1-\sigma)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}+i\nu}|^2\, d\mu(\sigma) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+m)}{m!}\frac{1}{\left|\Gamma(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+i\nu)\right|^2}\delta_{mn}. \tag{3.28}$$

That is a 1-parameter deformation of the usual circular Jacobi polynomial that coincides with $\phi_m^{(\alpha,0)}(\sigma)$. In particular, $\phi_m^{(1,0)}(\sigma) = \sigma^m$ and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma} \phi_m^{(1,0)}(\sigma)\overline{\phi_n^{(1,0)}(\sigma)}\, d\mu(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{im\theta}e^{-in\theta}\, d\theta = \delta_{mn}.$$

We also remark that the rank 1 case of (3.10) is

$$\phi_m^{(\alpha,\nu)}(e^{i\theta}) = q_m^{\left(\alpha,-\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2i}\right) = e^{m\frac{i\theta}{2}}P_m^{\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2i};-\frac{\theta}{2}\right), \tag{3.29}$$

That means if θ is regarded as a parameter and ν is regarded as a variable for the circular Jacobi polynomial, then we can consider the circular Jacobi polynomial to be the Meixner-Pollaczek polynomial.

Moreover, the generating function of $\phi_m^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma)$ is given by

$$\sum_{m\geq 0}\phi_m^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma)z^m = (1-z)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-1)+i\nu}(1-\sigma z)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)-i\nu}. \tag{3.30}$$

Although, we have not been given an explicit expression for the differential relation of $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma)$ in the multivariate case, we obtain the following explicit result in the one variable case from the differential equation of ${}_2F_1$.

Proposition 3.8. *If*

$$D_{\alpha,\nu} := \sigma(1-\sigma)\partial_\sigma^2 + \left\{\left(-m+\frac{3}{2}+i\nu\right)(1-\sigma) - \frac{\alpha}{2}(1+\sigma)\right\}\partial_\sigma + m\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)+i\nu\right), \tag{3.31}$$

then

$$D_{\alpha,\nu}\phi_m^{(\alpha,\nu)}(\sigma) = 0. \tag{3.32}$$

4 Concluding remarks

We have investigated the fundamental properties of MCJ polynomials, that is, orthogonality and the generating function etc. However, as we have not succeeded in obtaining a differential equation for $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(\alpha,\nu)}$ similar to Proposition 3.8, we can not derive a modified Cayley transform of tr ∇_u^{-1}.

It is also important to consider the generalization of MCJ polynomials for multiplicity d. Actually, this generalization has been obtained by Baker and Forrester [BF] for multivariate

Laguerre polynomials which are a modified Fourier transform of the Cayley transform of MCJ polynomials. In addition, we can consider MCJ polynomials and their orthogonality without using the analysis on the symmetric cones as follows.

Let $n := r + \frac{d}{2}r(r-1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbf{m}} &:= \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}j\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}(j-1)+1\right)} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} \left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p)\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p+1)\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p-1)+1\right)}, \qquad (4.1) \\ \Gamma_\Omega(\mathbf{s}) &:= (2\pi)^{\frac{n-r}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \Gamma\left(s_j - \frac{d}{2}(j-1)\right), \\ (\mathbf{s})_{\mathbf{k}} &:= \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left(s_j - \frac{d}{2}(j-1)\right)_{k_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, $P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\frac{2}{d})}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ is an r-variable Jack polynomial and

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(d)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) := \frac{P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\frac{2}{d})}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)}{P_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\frac{2}{d})}(1, \dots, 1)}. \qquad (4.2)$$

Furthermore, we introduce the generalized (Jack) binomial coefficients based on [OO] by

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d)}(1+\lambda_1, \dots, 1+\lambda_r) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}_{\frac{d}{2}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(d)}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r).$$

Definition 4.1. We define the generalized MCJ (GMCJ) polynomial as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d;\,\alpha,\nu)}(e^{i\theta}) = \phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d;\,\alpha,\nu)}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_r}) &:= d_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{m}}}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}_{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha + \frac{n}{r}\right) + i\nu\right)_{\mathbf{k}}}{(\alpha)_{\mathbf{k}}} \\ &\quad \cdot \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(d)}(1 - e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, 1 - e^{i\theta_r}). \qquad (4.3) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we present the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.2. *If $\alpha > \frac{n}{r} - 1$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\widetilde{c_0}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathcal{S}^r} \phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{(d;\,\alpha,\nu)}(e^{i\theta}) \overline{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{(d;\,\alpha,\nu)}(e^{i\theta})} \prod_{j=1}^{r} |(1 - e^{i\theta_j})^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha - \frac{n}{r}\right) + i\nu}|^2 \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} |e^{i\theta_p} - e^{i\theta_q}|^d \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_r \\ &= d_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{\Gamma_\Omega(\alpha + \mathbf{m})}{\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)_{\mathbf{m}}} \frac{1}{\left|\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha + \frac{n}{r}\right) + i\nu\right)\right|^2} \delta_{\mathbf{mn}}. \qquad (4.4) \end{aligned}$$

For some special case, we prove the conjecture.

Proposition 4.3. (1) *If $d = 1, 2, 4$ or $r = 2, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ or $r = 3, d = 8$, then this conjecture is true.*
(2) *The case of $\alpha = \frac{n}{r}$ and $\nu = 0$ is also true.*

Proof. (1) It follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 and the classification of irreducible symmetric cones.
(2) We remark that when $\alpha = \frac{n}{r}, \nu = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(d;\,\frac{n}{r},0\right)}\left(e^{i\theta}\right) &= d_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\subset\mathbf{m}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{k}}_{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{P_{\mathbf{k}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}(1-e^{i\theta_1},\ldots,1-e^{i\theta_r})}{P_{\mathbf{k}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}(1,\ldots,1)} \\ &= d_{\mathbf{m}} \frac{P_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}(e^{i\theta_1},\ldots,e^{i\theta_r})}{P_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}(1,\ldots,1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

For the Jack polynomial, the following formulas are known (see (6.4) in [OO] and (10.38) in [M] respectively).

$$P_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}(1,\ldots,1) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}j\right)} \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} \frac{\Gamma\left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p+1)\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p)\right)}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}\|_{r,\frac{2}{d}}^2 &:= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^r}\frac{1}{r!}\int_{\mathcal{S}^r} |P_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}(e^{i\theta_1},\ldots,e^{i\theta_r})|^2 \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} |e^{i\theta_p} - e^{i\theta_q}|^d \, d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_r \\ &= \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} \frac{\Gamma\left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p+1)\right)\Gamma\left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p-1)+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p)\right)\Gamma\left(m_p - m_q + \frac{d}{2}(q-p)+1\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Hence, from (4.1), (4.6) and (4.7), we have

$$d_{\mathbf{m}}\|P_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}\|_{r,\frac{2}{d}}^2 = \left\{\prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}(j-1)+1\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}j\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}\right\} P_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}(1,\ldots,1)^2. \tag{4.8}$$

Therefore, by (4.5) and the orthogonality of the Jack polynomial, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\widetilde{c_0}}{(2\pi)^n}\int_{\mathcal{S}^r} \phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(d;\,\frac{n}{r},0\right)}\left(e^{i\theta}\right)\overline{\phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\left(d;\,\frac{n}{r},0\right)}\left(e^{i\theta}\right)} \prod_{1\le p<q\le r} |e^{i\theta_p} - e^{i\theta_q}|^d \, d\theta_1\cdots d\theta_r \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n-r}{2}}}{r!}\left\{\prod_{j=1}^{r}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}j\right)}\right\}\frac{d_{\mathbf{m}}^2}{P_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}(1,\ldots,1)^2}(2\pi)^r r!\|P_{\mathbf{m}}^{\left(\frac{2}{d}\right)}\|_{r,\frac{2}{d}}^2\delta_{\mathbf{mn}} \\ &= \frac{d_{\mathbf{m}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n-r}{2}}}\prod_{j=1}^{r-1}\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{d}{2}(r-j)\right)}\delta_{\mathbf{mn}} = d_{\mathbf{m}}\frac{1}{\Gamma_\Omega\left(\frac{n}{r}\right)}\delta_{\mathbf{mn}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

To summarize, we draw the following diagram of GMCJ polynomial.



Although a proof of a general case would be desirable, our method in this paper cannot be applied to a general case. It may be necessary to consider a method of quantum integrable systems, that is, to construct some commuting families of differential or pseudo-differential operators whose simultaneous eigenfunctions become GMCJ polynomials. Actually, for the multivariate Laguerre polynomials, there exist a commuting family of some differential operators $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ such that

$$D_k \psi^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbf{m}}(u) = \lambda_{k,\mathbf{m}} \psi^{(\alpha)}_{\mathbf{m}}(u),$$

for all $k = 1, \ldots, r$. Hence, if we put $\widetilde{D_k} := (\mathcal{C}^{-1}_{\alpha,\nu} \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{\alpha,\nu}) \circ D_k \circ (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\nu} \circ \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\nu})$, then these (pseudo?) differential operators $\widetilde{D_k}$ commute with each other and for all $k = 1, \ldots, r$,

$$\widetilde{D_k} \phi^{(d\,;\alpha,\nu)}_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma) = \lambda_{k,\mathbf{m}} \phi^{(d\,;\alpha,\nu)}_{\mathbf{m}}(\sigma).$$

That is to say, MCJ polynomials give a new quantum integrable system. Hence, although we are not aware of any studies of constructions of commuting families of pseudo-differential operators thus far for GMCJ polynomials, we think our conjecture is likely to be an important target of investigations into quantum integrable systems.

Therefore, we give the more problems for GMCJ polynomials, which are very related to the above conjecture. First, we remark commuting families of differential operators for the Jack polynomials are given by using a degenerate double affine Hecke algebra (DDAHA) of type A. Moreover, since GMCJ polynomials are 2-parameter deformation of the Jack polynomials, we present the following problem naturally.

Problem 4.4. *Discover a 2-parameter deformation of the DDAHA, which need to construct commuting families of pseudo-differential operators whose simultaneous eigenfunctions become GMCJ polynomials.*

Next, we recall the following result for Jack polynomials.

Theorem 4.5. (1) *The singular vectors of the Virasoro algebra are Jack polynomials with rectangular partitions [MY].*
(2) *The singular vectors of the $\mathcal{W}$ algebra are Jack polynomials with arbitrary partitions [AMOS].*

From this theorem, we can also think the following problem.

Problem 4.6. (1) *Discover a 2-parameter deformation of the Virasoro algebra whose singular vectors become the GMCJ polynomials with rectangular partitions.*
(2) *Discover a 2-parameter deformation of the $\mathcal{W}$ algebra whose singular vectors become the GMCJ polynomials with arbitrary partitions.*

Finally, we would like to raise the issue of applications for MCJ polynomials. In particular, since the weight function of the orthogonality relation for MCJ polynomials coincides with a circular Jacobi ensemble, we expect an application to the random matrix model whose density function is a circular Jacobi ensemble. However, further details on this are goals of future work.

References

[A] R. Askey: *Discussion of Szegö's paper Beiträge zur Theorie der Toeplitzschen Formen, in G. Szegö, Collected Works, Vol. I*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982, 303–305.

[AMOS] H. Awata, Y. Matsuo, S. Odake and J. Shiraishi: *Excited states of the Calogero-Sutherland model and singular vectors of the W_N algebra*, Nuclear Phys. B, **449**-1, (1995), 347–374.

[BF] T. H. Baker and P. J. Forrester: *The Calogero-Sutherland model and generalized classical polynomials*, Commun. Math. Phys., **188** (1997), 175–216.

[BNR] P. Bourgade, A. Nikeghbali and A. Rouault: *Circular Jacobi ensembles and deformed Verblunsky coefficients*, IMRN., **23** (2009), 4357–4394.

[Dib] H. Dib: *Fonctions de Bessel sur une algèbre de Jordan*, J. Math. pures et appl., **69** (1990), 403–448.

[Din] H. Ding: *Differential equations and recursion relations for Laguerre functions on symmetric cones*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **359** (2007), 3239–3250.

[F] J. Faraut: *Prolongement analytique des series de Taylor spheriques*, Contemp. Math., **138** (1992), 139–149.

[FK] J. Faraut and A. Koranyi: *Analysis on Symmetric Cones*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994.

[FW] J. Faraut and M. Wakayama: *Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type and multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials*, arXiv:0812.1292 (2008).

[H] L. Hua: *Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1963.

[I] M. Ismail: *Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 98. Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[M] I. G. Macdonald: *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Oxford University Press, 1995.

[MY] K. Mimachi and Y. Yamada: *Singular vectors of the Virasoro algebra in terms of Jack symmetric polynomials*, Comm. Math. Phys., **174**-2 (1995), 447–455.

[OO] A. Okounkov and G. Olshanski: *Shifted Jack polynomials, binomial formula, and applications*, Math. Res. Letters, **4** (1997), 69–78.

[Sa] P. Sawyer: *Spherical functions on symmetric cones*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **349**-9 (1997), 3569–3584.

[She] L. Shen: *Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle associated with the Laguerre polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **129** (2001), 873–879.

[U] H. Upmeier: *Toeplitz operators on bounded symmetric domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **280**-1 (1983), 221–237.

Institute of Mathematics for Industry, Kyushu University
744, Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, JAPAN.
E-mail: g-shibukawa@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp